

**FREE!! - BROUGHT TO YOU BY BUSINESSES LOYAL TO LIBERTY - FREE!!**

**Wants or Needs? *Front Page***  
**Longshoremens Quit the AFL-CIO *Page 4***  
**UPS Won't Insure Spouses *Page 6***

**Is the Government Out to Eat You? *Page 2***  
**Redefining Freedom *Page 5***  
**Public School Choice is No Choice Now *Page 9***



**VOLUME 5, ISSUE 9 BY AND FOR FREEDOM LOVING ALASKANS**



**Wants or Needs?**  
By Tim Sovde

If the voters approve Proposition 1 and 2 on the October 1<sup>st</sup> Municipal Ballot the sale of those general obligation bonds will herald a new level of public debt for the FNSB.

PROPOSITION 1 asks for authorization to sell \$37,150,000 in General Obligation Bonds for Replacement of Ryan Middle School.

PROPOSITION 2 asks for authorization to sell \$19,400,000 General Obligation Bonds for Capital Improvements to Ticasuk Brown and University Park Elementary Schools, Tanana and North Pole Middle Schools, and Two Rivers K-8 School.

Currently the amount of debt carried by the borough is approximately \$112 million. The 2014 FNSB budget shows \$15.6+ million is the current cost to service and repay the \$112+ million debt. If Prop 1 and 2 pass an additional \$56.5+ million will be added to our debt for a total of around \$168 million. The cost to service and repay the borough debt will be approximately \$22+ million when all the bonds are sold.

Proponents of the Bond Issue counter that the bonds will not all be sold in one year so the debt service will not balloon to \$22+ million in 2015. The projects are due to be completed in a 1 to 3 year window which is the time window the bonds will be sold. If the bonds could be sold in one year the debt service would have been \$23.4+ million. So it is a reasonable position to look at 2016 or 2017 and point out the debt service level will be approximately \$22 million.

Proponents also point out that the State of Alaska has promised to pay 60 to 70% of the school bonds. The problem is at least once the State when it had budget problems reduced the promised monies.

**Auto Trim Design 2550 South Cushman 456-4800**



  
BIGHORN ENTERPRISES LLC  
P.O. Box 58095  
Fairbanks, AK 99711-0095  
Phone: (907) 451-7310  
Fax: (907) 451-7312



**You can now go online to read the latest and past issues of The Woodshed at**

<http://www.aklg.org/the-woodshed/>

**Online you can follow the imbedded links to more information.**

Add to this the concern the state lawmakers preference to fund projects which will generate them accolades in their districts. If the oil prices decrease or the repeal of Senate Bill 23 by voter initiative occurs State Funds will decrease and said lawmakers will look at municipal revenue sharing as the first target. What would be the second? Could the State default on the promised funding for the school bonds?

Another item to look at is three years from now or even two years how many more bond issues will be proposed and dutifully placed on the ballot by the Assembly? If these propositions pass and no new bond initiatives are authorized it would still require 7 to 8 years for the debt level to return to what it is today.


Are these bond issues **Wants or Needs?** If the proposed school improvements are wants. Then voting no to irresponsible spending is easy. If improvements are needed it could trump the concerns of increased debt. But finding cheaper options to address the problems should have been a option offered the public. To repair would have cost as much as 9 million less then the \$37 million price tag to replace Ryan Middle School. It was not.

The tipping point to a new higher level of public indebtedness is now. The issue you will vote on this year is not what the bonds will be used for but are you willing to accept a greater amount of debt which your homes and businesses are the collateral. So is the public ready to accept a new higher pyridine of debt?



## Is the Government Out to Eat You?

Paul Rosenberg Aug 8th, 2013 [FreemansPerspective.com](http://FreemansPerspective.com)



This graph is a very typical display of the predator/prey relationship. It comes from a study on rabbits and coyotes, but the relationship is the same for all predator/prey tandems, from tiny parasites and their hosts to lions and antelopes. The predators always overfeed until the prey can no longer sustain them, then most of them die and the rest wait for the prey to replenish themselves.

It works in the same way for human governance. You are the rabbits; the rulers are the coyotes.

This thought – that rulership is a predatory strategy – is uncomfortable for most of us. Nonetheless it is true. But there is a serious difference between human rulers and coyotes: Humans are intelligent beings, so the predators must use mental strategies more than physical strategies. The effective rule of humans must focus on their minds more than their bodies; unsupported physical domination is too difficult and expensive. This is why legitimacy matters so much in human governance.

The interesting thing about our current situation is that the rulers of the West retain their [overwhelming power](#), but their



**Small Engine Sales & Service**  
*Fairbanks, Alaska*

**More than a saw shop - We work on all brands of small engines**  
**Alaska owned and operated**

(907) 452-6318  
1-800-478-7318  
Fax (907) 452-6883  
127 Minnie Street  
Fairbanks Alaska

legitimacy rests on a number of fragile structures. When one or two of them fail, the others may go down with them. And if this happens, the current system of rulership will not be rebuilt as it is now. What comes next may be better or may be worse, but it will not be the same.

### **The Reverse View**

The graph above shows the predator/prey relationship between rabbits and coyotes. Very often in my writings, I take the rabbit's view of the situation. Now I'd like to reverse that and explain the coyote's view.

Rulership is an exercise in skimming. Think of your own interactions with your government – the primary exchange is that they take some of your [production](#). This occurs in many ways: when you get a [paycheck](#), when you pay your electric bill or phone bill, when you get a license plate for your car, every time you pay sales [tax](#), and so on. Rulership lives on the skim.

In order to maintain the skim, a ruler has several mental tools:

- 1. Claims of necessity.** Make people fear that without the ruler, monstrous foreigners will invade and make things much, much worse.
- 2. [Inertia](#).** Once people are moving in any given direction (such as paying the skim as a matter of course), they will tend to keep moving that way until an outside force deflects or stops them.
- 3. Tradition.** This is the story that it has always been this way, and that your grandparents (and their grandparents) have all lived this way and called it righteous. Tradition displaces analysis. At the gate of analysis (deciding if the skim is or isn't good for you), tradition stands as a guardian saying, "You shall not pass." To analyze would be to spit in tradition's face.
- 4. Fear of standing alone.** The friends of rulership can be counted on to present images of conformity and to exalt the concept of unity. The effect of this is to raise the price of non-conformity. To question the skim, you must face the fear of becoming an outcast.
- 5. Disguising fear.** This is crucial and multifaceted. Rather than saying, "Pay taxes or armed men will imprison you," for example, they must appeal to patriotism, shared sacrifice, helping the poor, or something. Of course it is true that people only pay because of fear, but that truth should not be seen. A plausible substitute must be provided.

There are many structures of rulership, of course, but all of them live on the skim. They just enforce and justify it differently. It used to be that the ruler claimed a special relationship with God or that he was a superior type of being. In modern times, a larger number of people were brought into rulership, making the broad population feel that they were also part of it. Through it all, however, humans could easily be broken down into those who are skimmed from, and those who are skimmed to.

So, if you live on the skim, your goal is for people to go along with your orders willingly...



At the same time, if you are the prey, the entire system is set to make you believe "It is right for other people to order me around."

Do you?

[Editor's Note: This article is an excerpt from our flagship newsletter - *Freeman's Perspective* – Issue #13: "Rulership's Last Stand, Part 5 – Predatory Breakdown." If you liked it, consider taking a [risk-free test drive](#). Not only will you gain immediate access to the rest of the issue, but you'll also be able to enjoy the entire archive – more than 520 pages of research on topics of importance and inspiration to those looking for freedom in an unfree world. Plus valuable bonus reports and all new issues as well. [Click here to learn more.](#)]



## Citing Obamacare, 40,000 Longshoremen Quit the AFL-CIO

by [Warner Todd Huston](#) 2 Sep 2013 <http://canadafreepress.com/>

**In what is being reported as a surprise move, the 40,000 members of the International Longshore and Warehouse Union (ILWU) announced that they have formally ended their association with the AFL-CIO, one of the nation's largest private sector unions. The Longshoremen cited Obamacare and immigration reform as two important causes of their disaffiliation.**

In an [August 29 letter](#) to AFL-CIO President Richard Trumka, ILWU President Robert McEllrath cited quite a list of grievances as reasons for the dissolution of their affiliation, but prominent among them was the AFL-CIO's support of Obama.

"We feel the Federation has done a great disservice to the labor movement and all working people by going along to get along," McEllrath wrote in the letter to Trumka.

The ILWU President made it clear they are for a single-payer, nationalized healthcare policy and are upset with the AFL-CIO for going along with Obama on the confiscatory tax on their "Cadillac" healthcare plan.

The Longshoreman leader said, "President Obama ran on a platform that he would not tax medical plans and at the 2009 AFL-CIO Convention, you stated that labor would not stand for a tax on our benefits." But, regardless of that promise, the President has pushed for just such a tax and Trumka and the AFL-CIO bowed to political pressure lining up behind Obama's tax on those plans.

McEllrath also went on to say that they support stronger immigration reform than the AFL-CIO is supporting. One ILWU committeeman was even harsher on both the AFL-CIO and the President. ILWU Coast Committeeman Leal Sundet criticized the AFL-CIO telling [LaborNotes.com](#) that Trumka was marching "in lockstep" with Obama both on the "Cadillac healthcare tax" as well as immigration.

Sundet slammed Obama's immigration plan saying it is "designed to give [only] highly-paid workers a real path to citizenship."



# SERVING ALASKANS FOR OVER 30 YEARS

BUY & SELL

gold and silver bullion  
ALASKA RARE COINS

(907) 452-6461

M - F 8:30 - 5:30 - SAT 10:00 - 5:00

551 2nd AVE, SUITE B, FAIRBANKS 99701



Private sector unions have fallen to an all time low participation rate in the US workforce. Unionized workers now account for only [11.3 percent](#) of the US workforce.

Warner Todd Huston's thoughtful commentary, sometimes irreverent often historically based, is featured on many websites such as [gatewaypundit.com](#), [renewamerica.us](#), [rightwingnews.com](#), [chicagonow.com](#), [stoptheaclu.com](#), and [americandaily.com](#), among many, many others. He has also written for several history magazines, has appeared on numerous TV and radio shows and appears in the new book "Americans on Politics, Policy and Pop Culture," which can be purchased on [amazon.com](#). He is also the owner and operator of [Publius' Forum](#).

Warner can be reached at: [igcolonel@hotmail.com](mailto:igcolonel@hotmail.com)



## **Redefining Freedom: Facial Recognition, Mannerism Identification, the FBI & you**

09/17/2012 <http://www.democratsagainstunagenda21.com/>

About 5 years ago, in an airport waiting line, I struck up a conversation with a man who told me that he was a security software developer working on contracts for various governments. He told me that it was possible to identify anyone from their facial characteristics. I said that if someone were wearing sunglasses that would be difficult, right? No, he said, because there were actually metrics for identifying someone covered completely, by mapping their physical movements. He said that each of us is unique to a minor, measurable, degree.

This is apparently also useful in identifying those who play video games, type on their computers, or use any electronic device--our keystrokes are identifiable.

I just received this article from the online magazine Russia Today:

"Birthmarks, be damned: the FBI has officially started rolling out a state-of-the-art face recognition project that will assist in their effort to accumulate and archive information about each and every American at a cost of a billion dollars.

The Federal Bureau of Investigation has reached a milestone in the development of their Next Generation Identification (NGI) program and is now implementing the intelligence database in unidentified locales across the country, New Scientist reports in an article this week. The FBI first outlined the project back in 2005, explaining to the Justice Department in an August 2006 document ([.pdf](#)) that their new system will eventually serve as an upgrade to the current Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System (IAFIS) that keeps track of citizens with criminal records across America .

*"The NGI Program is a compilation of initiatives that will either improve or expand existing biometric identification services,"* its administrator explained to the Department of Justice at the time, adding that the project, *"will accommodate increased information processing and sharing demands in support of anti-terrorism."*

*"The NGI Program Office mission is to reduce terrorist and criminal activities by improving and expanding biometric identification and criminal history information services through research, evaluation and implementation of advanced technology within the IAFIS environment."*



**Like to write?  
Have something to say?  
Ready to raise your pen for freedom?  
Send your submissions to:  
[woodshdone@gmail.com](mailto:woodshdone@gmail.com)**

The agency insists, “As a result of the NGI initiatives, the FBI will be able to provide services to enhance interoperability between stakeholders at all levels of government, including local, state, federal, and international partners.” In doing as such, though, the government is now going ahead with linking a database of images and personally identifiable information of anyone in their records with departments around the world thanks to technology that makes fingerprint tracking seem like kids' stuff.”

READ MORE: <http://rt.com/usa/news/fbi-recognition-system-ngi-640/>

- See more at: <http://www.democratsagainstunagenda21.com/1/post/2012/09/redefining-freedom-facial-recognitionmannerism-identification-the-fbi-you.html#sthash.CzPU56Nm.dpuf>



## **UPS Won't Insure Spouses Of Some Employees**

Topics: [Health Costs](#), [Insurance](#), [Health Reform](#), [Marketplace](#)

By [Jay Hancock](#) KHN Staff Writer Aug 21, 2013 <http://www.kaiserhealthnews.org/>

This KHN story was produced in collaboration with <http://www.usatoday.com/>

Partly blaming the health law, United Parcel Service is set to remove thousands of spouses from its medical plan because they are eligible for coverage elsewhere.

Many analysts downplay the Affordable Care Act's effect on companies such as UPS, noting that the move is part of a long-term trend of shrinking corporate medical benefits. But the shipping giant repeatedly cites the act to explain the decision, adding fuel to the debate over whether the law erodes traditional employer coverage.

A United Parcel Service driver delivers packages in 2010 in Glendale, Calif. (Photo by Kevork Djansezian/Getty Images) Rising medical costs, “combined with the costs associated with the Affordable Care Act, have made it increasingly difficult to continue providing the same level of health care benefits to our employees at an affordable cost,” UPS said in [a memo to employees](#).

The company told white-collar workers two months ago that 15,000 working spouses eligible for coverage at their own employers would be excluded from the UPS plan in 2014. The Fortune 100 firm expects the move, which applies to non-union U.S. workers only, to save about \$60 million a year, said company spokesman Andy McGowan.

UPS becomes one of the highest-profile employers yet to bar working spouses from the company plan. Many firms already require employees to pay a surcharge for working-spouse medical coverage, but some are taking the next step by declining to include them at all, consultants say.

“They are simply saying to the spouse outright, ‘If you have coverage somewhere else you are not eligible here,’” said Edward Fensholt, a senior vice president at Lockton Cos., a large insurance broker. “We don't see a lot of that out there, but more than we used to.”

This year 4 percent of large employers [surveyed](#) by consultants Towers Watson excluded spouses if they had similar coverage where they work. Another 8 percent planned such a change for 2014, according to the survey.

“When health-care reform came on the scene a few years ago we definitely saw an uptick in companies wanting to explore a working-spouse provision,” said Steve Noury, national sales director for HMS Employer Solutions, which monitors

dependents' eligibility for corporate benefits. “We have seen [them] over the past two or three years putting those in

## **The Syrian Snake Pit** by Tim Sovde

Snake one Assad is backed by the Shiite, Kurdish, and Christian minorities in Syria. Assad is receiving outside support from Iran, Russia, and the Lebanon based Iranian backed Hezbollah.

Snake two are the rebel factions which consist of the Free Syrian Army, Syrian Islamic Liberation Front, Syrian Islamic Front, Hamas, Al-Quaede, Muslim Brotherhood, and Iran.

Mr. Obama wants to bomb Assad's forces. To say Obama would be associating himself with some unsavory characters would be a gross understatement. No matter who won in the end none of them could be remotely looked at as friends of America except many be the Free Syrian Army who if snake two won would be wiped out by their current allies.

Notice that Iran is playing both sides and can not lose no matter which side wins. Either a grateful secular Assad government or a further ascendancy of militant Islam in the Middle East will win out.

Vietnam taught us if you break it you own it. If Assad goes down because of US air strikes Obama will own the blood bath which will be visited upon the Shiite, Kurdish, and Christian minorities in Syria by the victorious rebels.

### **UPS Won't Insure Spouses Of Some Employees (continued)**

place.”

The health law requires large employers to cover employees and dependent children but not spouses or domestic partners.

UPS spouses may have difficulty finding similar coverage at their own employers. The \$500 in-network family deductible [for UPS's basic plan](#), for example, is less than the nationwide average of \$733, [according to](#) the Kaiser Family Foundation. (Kaiser Health News is an editorially independent program of the foundation.)

Health coverage for spouses became standard in the 1950s when wives were less likely to work outside the home. The rise of two-income households led, in many cases, to two family health plans, but employers have been slow to address the overlap.

Companies have employed varying tactics to get spouses off their plans. The city of Anacortes, Wash., pays employees a bonus if spouses get coverage elsewhere, said human resources director Emily Schuh. This year Xerox went the opposite way, charging employees who enroll working spouses a \$1,000 annual penalty, which rises to \$1,500 next year.

Spouse and domestic partner coverage at Xerox “is intended primarily for those who do not have their own access to employer provided medical coverage,” said company spokesman Bill McKee.

One large employer in five collects a surcharge on covered spouses who are eligible for insurance at their own workplace, according to the Towers Watson survey. Another 13 percent planned to add such a working spouse penalty next year.


Now employers are mimicking the city of Richmond, Ind., which excluded working spouses from its health plan starting in January, mainly because too many employees were taking them up on their offer.

“We have all these [employers] around us who are not covering working spouses,” said Richmond human resources director Sue Roberson. “You almost have to do it out of self-defense.”

The new plan at UPS, which [earned](#) \$807 million last year on revenue of \$54.1 billion, affects about a quarter of its U.S. workforce. The company is sharing part of the savings with employees, reducing premiums for workers whose spouses leave the plan.

Neither the company nor the Teamsters union would say whether a pending contract for blue-collar workers includes health-coverage changes for working spouses.

To explain the switch, UPS gave workers a memo, obtained by KHN, that repeatedly mentions the health act.



While acknowledging that overall health spending continues to rise, the company also blamed cost increases on the Affordable Care Act's [research fee](#) (initially \$1 per health plan member, then rising to \$2) and an [temporary fee](#) of \$63 per member to stabilize new online marketplaces for consumers buying directly from insurers.

Other factors are the act's ban on annual and lifetime coverage limits and its requirement to cover dependent children up to age 26, UPS said. The law's mandate for individuals to obtain coverage will nudge employees who previously opted out to enroll, also raising costs, the company said.

The health law is "one of the reasons that UPS is implementing the changes," McGowan said.

Advocates of the law argue that its costs are minimal compared with the burden of overall medical-insurance inflation, which the ACA was designed in part to control.

"The notion that those are going to be make-or-break when they are otherwise absorbing 7 to 10 percent a year [in broader health-cost increases] is kind of ridiculous," said Jonathan Gruber, an MIT economist who advised the Obama administration on health policy. "Nobody expected the ACA to have a major effect on health costs for large firms."

The nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office [projected in 2009](#) that the law's effect on premiums for large employers would be negligible.

Consultants point to another health law effect, however, that could also give employers a reason to dump spouses. Excluding wives might help keep companies from paying the "Cadillac tax" on high-value plans that takes effect in 2018, analysts said.

Also, women in their childbearing years "are a cost driver," said Julie Stone, a senior benefits consultant at Towers Watson. "If you have female spouses and you decide not to cover them, you're going to bring costs down."

The Obama administration would not respond directly to UPS's statements but said that employer coverage increased when Massachusetts implemented its own version of the health overhaul.

"The health care law will make health insurance more affordable, strengthen small businesses and make it easier for employers to provide coverage to their workers," said Joanne Peters, spokeswoman for the Department of Health and Human Services.

Stone doesn't expect widespread exclusion of working spouses until the health act's public insurance exchanges, scheduled to open in October, mature. Once companies know there's an alternative for working spouses, they may accelerate the practice, she said.

But first "they're going to talk about it for a few years," she said.

**We want to hear from you: [Contact Kaiser Health News](#)**

**THE WOODSHED PAGE 8**



*Harmony, liberal intercourse with all Nations, are recommended by policy, humanity and interest. But even our Commercial policy should hold an equal and impartial hand: neither seeking nor granting exclusive favours or preferences; consulting the natural course of things; diffusing and diversifying by gentle means the streams of Commerce, but forcing nothing; establishing with Powers so disposed; in order to give trade a stable course.*

George Washington, Farewell Address, September 19, 1796

## Public School Choice is No Choice Now

[Recent News](#) — By [Online Editor](#) on August 20, 2013 at 4:30 PM <http://www.alaskapolicyforum.org/>

For parents and children, this is a very exciting time of the year. School is about to begin and parents are shopping for crayons, paper, pencils, markers and other supplies for their kids. They are also choosing new clothes and neat backpacks for their children. One thing they are not able to choose is **the most important-their child's school**. And now in Alaska it's getting even harder to choose a good public school for one's child.

When Alaska received its waiver to No Child Left Behind this past May, it also received "permission" from the Federal government to do away with the opportunity for children to get out of failing public schools. This was the best chance for these children to receive a better public education. The Education Establishment snatched this opportunity from parents and children. Of course, parents were totally unaware that this occurred.

This is how public school choice worked before the State closed the doors to new students. If a Title I school had failed Adequate Yearly Progress for three or more years, a child could go to one of two better schools in the district. Transportation had to also be provided by the district. In Anchorage last school year, there were [244 of 7,926](#) eligible students who opted out of their failing neighborhood schools and went to better public schools. This was a good remedy for those who cannot afford to move from a neighborhood with a failing school to a better neighborhood school. These parents were involved in their kids' education and tried to better that education. These parents wanted the best they could afford for their children.

And this public school choice didn't cost the ASD one extra penny. Transportation for these 244 children didn't cost the ASD any extra as one can see from [this report](#) to the State. So why did the District discontinue a successful program with little coordination with the parents? Answer: because it was no longer mandated by the Federal government. Is this good public policy? Unfortunately, the Anchorage School Board doesn't even consider it a "policy" issue. And who does it benefit? It definitely doesn't benefit parents and children. The benefits to the District are questionable and immeasurable. But why has this public school choice been closed to new students? Maybe it's because it just doesn't fit the model of what public education should be. Confounding, isn't it?

Predictably, under democratic conditions the tendency of every monopoly - to increase prices and decrease quality - will be only more pronounced. Instead of a prince who regards the country as his private property, a temporary caretaker is put in charge of the country. He does not own the country, but as long as he is in office he is permitted to use it to his and his proteges' advantage. He owns its current use - usufruct - but not its capital stock. This will not eliminate exploitation. To the contrary, it will make exploitation less calculating and carried out with little or no regard to the capital stock, i.e., short-sighted. Moreover, the perversion of justice will proceed even faster now. Instead of protecting pre-existing private property rights, democratic government becomes a machine for the redistribution of existing property rights in the name of illusory 'social security.' Hans Hoppe

---

# Larry Morris

# Assembly

*Meet and Greet Fundraiser  
Hosted by Tammie Wilson*



**Please Join Us!**  
Wednesday September 11th  
6pm-9pm  
Hotel North Pole  
*Light food and Drinks*

## Growth & Leadership

Paid for by Larry Morris 1203 B 21st Avenue Fairbanks AK 99701

---

**You Can Pick Up The Woodshed from these Great Interior Alaskan Businesses**

**Chowder House** 206 Eagle Ave  
**Ajimi Japanese Restaurant** 550 3rd St  
**Mayflower Buffet** 414 3rd St  
**Sentry Hardware & Sporting** 250 3rd St. Ste. 6  
**Rod's Saw Shop** 127 Minnie Street  
**The Diner** 244 Illinois St.  
**Big I** 122 N Turner St  
**Elegant Memories Antiques** 514 2nd Ave  
**Bulgogi Grill** 400 College Rd  
**Alaska Rare Coins** 551 2nd Ave. Suite B  
**Soapy Smith's Restaurant** 543 2nd Ave.  
**Custom Leather & Gifts** 535 2nd Ave  
**River City Cafe** 523 2nd Ave  
**Larson's Locksmith & Security** 1249 Noble St.  
**Dick Randolph Insurance Agency** 12th and Cushman  
**Jim Bradbury State Farm Insurance** 1401 Turner  
**Mr Pawn and Loan** 2117 S Cushman St.  
**Ranch Motel** 2223 S Cushman St.  
**Just Haircuts** 2319 S Cushman St.  
**Auto Trim Design** 2550 South Cushman St

**Six Robbles' Inc.** 3060 S Cushman St.  
**Gene's Chrysler Dodge Jeep** 3400 South Cushman  
**Advanced Printing** 612 30th Ave  
**Arctic Fire and Safety** 702 30th Ave  
**Holm Town Nursery** 1301 30th Ave.  
**Northern Power Sports** 1980 Van Horn Rd.  
**ABS Alaskan Inc.** 2130 Van Horn Rd.  
**Compeau's** 4122 Boat St  
**Altrol** 2295 A Van Horn Road  
**Alyeska Tire** 3250 Peger Road  
**ARCTIC RV** 3013 Peger Rd.  
**Base Camp Eatery** 3226 Airport Way  
**Taco Azteca** 3401 Airport Way  
**The Woodway** 1830 RJ Loop on College Rd. 35  
**Alaska Fun Center** 1817 College Rd.  
**S&W Radiator** 1625 College Rd.  
**Siam Square**, 59 College Rd # 202  
**Northland Hearing** River Mall, 29 College Rd.  
**Seekins Ford Lincoln Mercury** 1625 Seekins Ford Drive  
**McPeaks** 771 Badger Rd. North Pole

**You can now go online to read the latest and past issues of The Woodshed at**

<http://www.aklg.org/the-woodshed/>

**Online you can follow the imbedded links to more information.**