

FREE!! - BROUGHT TO YOU BY BUSINESSES LOYAL TO LIBERTY - FREE!!

Edward Snowden: Nullifier *Front Page*  
Sustainable Development *Page 5*  
exposing uncomfortable truths *Page 8*

Duncan's Denial *Page 3*  
OBAMA FACES OPPOSITION IN AFRICA *Page 7*  
US Senate to punish runaway tax slaves *Page 9*



VOLUME 5, ISSUE 7 BY AND FOR FREEDOM LOVING ALASKANS



### Edward Snowden: Nullifier

By [Joel Poindexter](#) on June 25, 2013 in [Featured](#), [Nullification 2 21](#)

I don't know what Edward Snowden's actual opinion of [nullification](#) is, but the essence of his heroic [whistle-blowing](#) is consistent with the principle. While this episode continues to unfold, a number of apologists for the NSA's domestic espionage have suggested that even if he meant well, Snowden's methods were inappropriate. Rather than transfer reams of classified intelligence material to investigative journalists, they say, Snowden should first have gone through official channels.

Author and former CIA officer Michael Davidson recently said in an interview that a number of options exist for members of the government to lodge formal complaints. Among those channels are supervisors, inspectors general, and individual members of the congress. Any of these could have assisted Snowden in raising awareness of the problems he saw, while maintaining operational security of the programs. So says the government agent.

It should be patently obvious that such official channels are bound to fail for anyone serious about correcting unlawful behavior. If any of Snowden's supervisors were likely to help put an end to the unconstitutional programs being carried out by the NSA, it's reasonable to assume they wouldn't have needed his prodding in the first place. Surely if he was aware of the offenses so too would have been those in the ranks above him. If they were inclined to be faithful to the 4th Amendment, they shouldn't have required any urging from a subordinate.

A similar argument could be raised regarding the inspector general. It's possible – and indeed likely – that the inspector general's office was unaware of the extent of the spying. It's also possible that such an officer would be inclined to

Auto Trim Design 2550 South Cushman 456-4800



BIGHORN ENTERPRISES LLC  
P.O. Box 58095  
Fairbanks, AK 99711-0095  
Phone: (907) 451-7310  
Fax: (907) 451-7312



investigate claims of secret data mining and storage of private communication between Americans, especially if there was suspicion of it being carried out in violation of the orders from the FISA courts.

This does not however mean that such an investigation is going to lead to the exposure of the program or it being ended. Nor does such a report guarantee the safety and freedom of the one making the complaint. Indeed, filing such an investigation is likely only to bring hardship on the individual, and without the benefit that leaking information about the program to reporters has garnered.

This last option, going to congress, is so preposterously ineffective that it's a wonder anyone can suggest it with a straight face. Congress authored the legislation that authorized the spy programs in the first place. Congress established the FISA courts, it approves the appointment of senior government officials, and it supposedly provides oversight of the NSA and other intelligence agencies. Why should anyone believe that some senator is going to be troubled with exposing the program and asking serious questions as to the legitimacy of this activity?

By [some accounts](#) there were at least two senators who did learn of the program and attempted to alert the public. Senators Ron Wyden and Tom Udall each were reportedly briefed on some of the details concerning the NSA's programs and were troubled by what they heard. Their concern over domestic spying goes back at least two years. Despite such displeasure for this, it wasn't until Snowden's whistle blowing that the general public was alerted to what was being done with their money and in their name. Other members of congress have been made aware of this extra-legal snooping as well, and they weren't raising any alarms with their constituents. Could it be that congress is either entirely impotent when it comes to providing a check against executive power, or is complicit in expanding the same?

In effect, anyone suggesting that whistleblowers use official channels is advocating a non-solution. In this regard it's no different than when opponents of nullification condescendingly suggest appealing to the courts or electing new representatives to overturn unconstitutional legislation. What they are really saying is: here, try this wholly ineffective method and go away.

But for anyone really interested in affecting change, this answer isn't good enough. It clearly wasn't good enough for Edward Snowden, nor was it acceptable for Bradley Manning or Daniel Ellsberg, when each leaked classified, damning evidence of wrong-doing on the part of government. Neither is such a flippant response good enough for nullification proponents. Using official government channels to correct government abuses in theory makes no sense; empirically this has certainly been the case, as shown above.

Rather than wait for someone in a position of authority in government to make the right decision, the people, through the states, should take a proactive approach. This means opposing directly what is being done at the federal level. This needn't however only take the form of states nullifying federal laws. The Tenth Amendment Center has for the past seven years made it clear that individual acts of nullification, often described as peaceful noncompliance, or the [Rosa Parks method](#), are just as effective. Adding whistle blowing to the list only seems logical, at least in the sense of bypassing the officially approved (read: ineffective) channels, and saying "no!" If it helps put an end to federal overreaches, all the better.

It's difficult to know for sure if we will have more whistleblowers like Manning and Snowden. It's widely known that the current administration has used the espionage act more than all previous administrations combined. In fact, with the latest news that Snowden has been charged with espionage, the total of seven now is more than double the rate of all previous presidents. Despite this policy, which Glenn Greenwald [describes](#) as excessive and vindictive, more individuals from within the federal government are still coming forward.

Joel Poindexter is a full-time student of economics and part-time writer. See his [blog](#).





**Small Engine Sales & Service**  
*Fairbanks, Alaska*

**More than a saw shop - We work on all brands of small engines**  
**Alaska owned and operated**

(907) 452-6318  
1-800-478-7318  
Fax (907) 452-6883  
127 Minnie Street  
Fairbanks Alaska

## **Duncan's Denial**

Karen Braun | July 1, 2013 | [stopcommoncoreinmichigan.com](http://stopcommoncoreinmichigan.com)

Secretary of Education Arne Duncan gave a speech at the **American Society of News Editors Annual Convention**  
Secretary Duncan said,

*"The Common Core has become a rallying cry for fringe groups that claim it is a scheme for the federal government to usurp state and local control of what students learn. An op-ed in the New York Times called the Common Core "a radical curriculum." It is neither radical nor a curriculum. ... When the critics can't persuade you that the Common Core is a curriculum, they make even more outlandish claims. They say that the Common Core calls for federal collection of student data. For the record, it doesn't, we're not allowed to, and we won't. And let's not even get into the really wacky stuff: mind control, robots, and biometric brain mapping."*

Let's unpack this a bit and examine the evidence.

**1. Hard working parents and tax payers are not a "fringe group."** Demonizing those critical of a policy is a tactic employed by those that want to distract from the facts. "We the people" are called to hold our elected officials accountable. That's not "fringe" idea. Just as you encouraged the reporters in this speech to challenge the critics of Common Core, we are also allowed to challenge the education policies of the administration.

**2. Is Common Core a "radical curriculum?"** Radical is subjective depending on your political perspective. What is radical to one parent may be mainstream to another. Local control of education is an American tradition. Communities and families determine what will be taught to their children.

But Common Core will eventually become a "common" curricula. How do I know? Obama said it was in his 2013 State of the Union Address,

*"Four years ago, we started Race to the Top, a competition that convinced almost every state to develop smarter curricula and higher standards, for about 1 percent of what we spend on education each year."*


Standards AND curricula. It is NOT "fringe groups" making this outlandish claim but the President of the United States. Further, lead architect of the Common Core David Coleman said,

*"If you put something on an assessment in my view you are ethically obligated to take responsibility that kids will practice it 100 times."*

Despite Duncan's denial, Common Core will include curricula to prepare students for the common assessments.

**3. Data collection is a part of the national "common" education reform funded by the Federal Government under President Obama.**





Secretary Duncan said in 2009. *“I want to be able to track every child throughout their educational trajectory, so we know what they are doing.”* He reiterated his vision again in a press release in 2010,

*“Tracking student progress from birth through college helps teachers in the classroom, helps principals manage and improve their schools, and helps parents better understand the unique educational needs of their child,”*

The Obama data collection initiative was confirmed by global education expert and Common Core proponent, Sir Michael Barber. Barber served as a top education adviser to Prime Minister Tony Blair and is currently the Chief Education Adviser to Pearson, (see this video link at 1:47 mark)

*“United States now, joined by the so-called Race To the Top fund the big Federal program, the biggest federal program probably in history state has to agree that it will build a database that connects individuals, teachers to individual students, and their progress. We will see databases like this developing around the world’s for the next decade or so...it’s only with the data that we can do evidenced based policy making.”*

Data must be collected to have “evidenced” based policy making. Despite Duncan’s denial that he “won’t” do it, data collection IS part of the education reform package adopted by the states when they chose Common Core and related assessments.

In his speech Duncan also feebly attempted to sound hopeful,

*Now, I don’t think the Common Core is going to get derailed. But this misguided, misinformed opposition is making life more difficult in several states, where various forms of anti-Common Core legislation have been introduced. A lot of that legislation is based on false information.*

So now we’re a “fringe group” that is making “life more difficult” for politicians. Wow.

This is America where opposing opinions are allowed and freely expressed. Challenging our nation’s lawmakers is the hallmark of a free society even if it makes their lives a bit more difficult. Teachers and parents lives have been made more difficult because of the national “common” standards and testing. The standards were adopted by the states with little debate because the federal money promised through Race for the Top. DC politicians and private interests are making life difficult for ordinary people who just want maintain control of education in Michigan.

Duncan continued, *“It’s convenient for opponents to simply write it all off as federal over-reach—but these are separate and distinct issues—and they should be publicly debated openly and honestly with a common understanding about the facts.”*

**Secretary Duncan’s denial seeks to minimize federal influence now but if President Obama is taking credit for “convincing” states to adopt standards and curricula then it is Duncan who is on the “fringe” in his assessment of “federal over reach” in Common Core.**





**SERVING ALASKANS FOR OVER 30 YEARS**

**BUY & SELL**

gold and silver bullion  
**ALASKA RARE COINS**

(907) 452-6461

M - F 8:30 - 5:30 - SAT 10:00 - 5:00

551 2nd AVE, SUITE B, FAIRBANKS 99701



## Sustainable Development

Summary of **Sustainable Development** from the American Policy Center: [www.americanpolicy.org](http://www.americanpolicy.org)

Sustainable Development can be traced back to a United Nations (UN) policy document called Agenda 21.

Sustainable Development calls for changing the very infrastructure of the nation, **away from private ownership and control of property to nothing short of central planning of the entire economy**. As these policies are implemented, locally elected officials are actually losing power and decision-making ability in their own communities. Most decisions are now being made behind the scenes in non-elected “sustainability councils”. Locally this is called the Comprehensive Plan Advisory Board.

**Old Words** with new meanings fill government policy papers. **Terminology** includes visioning, open space, comprehensive planning, land use, social justice, heritage, preservation, stakeholders, development, diversity, free trade, consensus, global truth, partnerships and community service.

### The Objectives

There are three major objectives of Sustainable Development: **Social Equity, Economic Prosperity, and Environmental Justice** also known as the three E’s.

**Social Equity is based on a demand for “social justice”** which means that the individual must give up selfish wants for the needs of the common good, or the “community”. Under the sustainablist system private property is an evil that is used to create wealth for a few. So too, is business ownership. Another word for social justice is Socialism. Karl Marx was the first to coin the phrase “social justice”.

**Economic Prosperity is based on one overriding premise:** that the wealth of the world was made at the expense of the poor and that wealth must first be taken from the rich. Sustainable Development’s economic policy is based not on private enterprise but on something called public/private partnerships.

Select business leaders who have agreed to help government impose Sustainablist green positions are merging the power of the economy with the force of government at the local, state and federal levels. Free trade is redefined to mean “freely” crossing national borders.

**Ecological Integrity is based on the UN’s Biodiversity Treaty**. Sustainablist policy is to oversee any issue in which man reacts with nature. Because the environment always comes first, there must be great restrictions over private property ownership and control. The UN’s Commission on Global Governance said in its 1995 report:

*“Human activity...combined with unprecedented increases in human numbers...are impinging on the planet’s basic life support system. Action must be taken now to control the human activities that produce these risks.”*

Under Sustainable Development there can be no limited government. It is a masterful mixture of socialism and fascism.



Sustainable Development is the worst of both. It is a new kind of tyranny which if not stopped will lead us into a new kind of Dark Age.

#### Four Methods

In the rural areas the method is called the **Wildlands Project** (or in **Fairbanks Land Use Suitability/Capability Mapping**). In the cities the method is **Smart Growth**. In business the new method is referred to as **Public/Private Partnerships**. **Inside areas called human habitat areas**, the government is controlled by an elite ruling class called **stake holder councils**.

Wildlands Projects are the brainchild of Earth First's Dave Foreman, it calls for the "re-wilding" of 50% of all the land in every state. **The Alaska Wildlands Project seeks to lock away and control almost the entire state.** Re-wilding includes herding humans off the rural lands into human settlements.

**Smart growth** involves drawing a line around your city as a boundary for all growth and development. It is said to be aimed at reducing urban sprawl, which on its own may seem like a reasonable objective, however when coupled with the idea of regressive Wildlands policy and characterized as human habitat it soon becomes apparent that the UN Biodiversity agenda is designed to drive people from the land.

**Visioning** is used when an "open public process" is implemented to ensure the desired outcome. Locally the **Vision Fairbanks Plan was said to have been catalyzed by Fairbanks residents** when in truth "the Planners ask you to come to meetings where they ask a limited set of questions. No matter how you answer, they conclude you agree with their preconceived plans. For example, they may ask, "Do you want less traffic congestion?" Under their plan, *if you live the way planners want you to*, you won't drive as much, so there will be less congestion. So if you answer "yes," they will claim you support their plan." (Randal O'Toole article "Don't Hobble Houston with Land Planning, Houston Chronicle on 01-19-08")

**Stake holder councils** inside human habitat areas are an elite ruling class that are mostly Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO's) which show up, like thieves in the night, to stake their claim to enforce their own private agendas.

You can read more about Public/Private Partnerships and Sustainable Development at [americanpolicy.org](http://americanpolicy.org) or at [Cato.org](http://Cato.org).







**Like to write?  
Have something to say?  
Ready to raise your pen for freedom?  
Send your submissions to:  
[woodshedone@gmail.com](mailto:woodshedone@gmail.com)**

## **OBAMA FACES OPPOSITION IN AFRICA**

The Most Expensive Presidential Visit in History Obama's visit to South Africa is being described as "the most expensive presidential tour ever!" (The cost to the US taxpayer is over US\$100 million. This is not counting the cost to the host governments in Africa either.) Military cargo planes have air lifted in 56 support vehicles, including 14 limousines and three trucks loaded with sheets of bulletproof glass to cover the windows of any locations where the first family will stay. Fighter jets will be flying in shifts giving 24-hour coverage over the president's air space.

### **Protests Against Obama**

Yesterday we heard the roar of helicopters over our Mission House and saw Marine One fly overhead as Obama was escorted to present a speech at the University of Cape Town. Protests have followed Obama's visit to South Africa. At the University of Johannesburg on Saturday, 29 June, police fired rubber bullets and a stun grenade into a crowd of hundreds of protestors who were chanting "NObama! NObama!" Demonstrators have brandished posters declaring: "No More Drone Wars!"; "Obama - Mass Murderer!"; "Away with USA"; "Obama - Zionist Uncle Tom!"; "Stop WW3 - Remove Obama" and "Obama - White House kitchen boy."

### **Academic Criticism**

Steven Friedman, the Director of Rhodes and Johannesburg Universities, has declared: "I don't think that it's unkind to say that he's done absolutely nothing for this continent. In some respects, George W. Bush did more for Africa than Barack Obama... I can't remember a US administration that has shown less interest in the continent than this one. Clearly China is now the dominant presence economically. I think the US still has far more political influence on this continent, but this is not going to last..."

### **Orwellian Nobel Prize**

Numerous academic have questioned how Obama could have won a Noebel Peace Prize within less than 9 months of being in office - without having achieved absolutely anything. His presidency has been described as "a bitter disappointment" to Africans and his Noebel Peace prize award in 2009, as "the most cynical Orwellian meaningless gesture".

### **Dishonorable**

Numerous UJ students called it a dishonourable doctorate to award an honorary doctorate on Obama, "because we feel it will dishonour all of us to be just handing out these things to a head of State, who for us, does not have a very good record."

### **Failed Promises**

Numerous protestors described Obama as a "liar!" because he had failed to fulfil any of his election pledges of 2008.

But with respect to future debt; would it not be wise and just for that nation to declare in the constitution they are forming that neither the legislature, nor the nation itself can validly contract more debt, than they may pay within their own age, or within the term of 19 years.

Thomas Jefferson, September 6, 1789

#### Abortion and Perversion President

Pro-life and pro-family groups in Africa express disgust with Obama's administration as the most radical pro-abortion and pro-homosexual in history. "He talks about gay rights, but gays have always had the same rights as anyone else. What Obama has really advanced is: privileges for perverts!" Pro-family advocates complain of the "arrogant and often bullying manner and insensitivity" of the US State Department against pro-life and pro-family administration in Africa.

#### Killing Black Babies with American Tax Dollars

One of the first official acts of President George W. Bush, after being elected US President was to defund Planned Parenthood's abortions overseas. One of Barack Obama's first acts as President was to re-fund Planned Parenthood's abortions in Africa. So, because of Barack Obamas policies, many hundreds and thousands of black babies have been killed in Africa, through abortion, with US taxpayer's money.

"Should you help the wicked and love those who hate the Lord and so bring wrath on yourself from the Lord?" 2 Chronicles 19:2

Dr. Peter Hammond The Reformation Society P.O. Box 74 Newlands 7725 Cape Town South Africa  
Email: [mission@frontline.org.za](mailto:mission@frontline.org.za) Website: [www.ReformationSA.org](http://www.ReformationSA.org)

#### **Tolstoy's "War and Peace" defies the religion of the state - exposing uncomfortable truths.**

Here is a passage from Book Eleven, Chapter XXV where the Governor of Moscow, Count Rostopchin has just frenzied up a mob to kill a political prisoner, Vereshchagin before fleeing Moscow on account of the advancing French.

"When they reached the Myasnitski Street and could no longer hear the shouts of the mob, the count began to repent. He remembered with dissatisfaction the agitation and fear he had betrayed before his subordinates. "The mob is terrible - disgusting," he said to himself in French. "They are like wolves whom nothing but flesh can appease." "Count! One God is above us both!" - Vereshchagin's words suddenly recurred to him, and a disagreeable shiver ran down his back. But this was only a momentary feeling and Count Rostopchin smiled disdainfully at himself. "I had other duties," thought he. "The people had to be appeased. Many other victims have perished and are perishing for the public good" - and he began thinking of his social duties to his family and to the city entrusted to him, and of himself - not himself as Theodore Vasilyevich Rostopchin (he fancied that Theodore Vasilyevich Rostopchin was sacrificing himself for the public good) but himself as governor, the representative of authority and of the Tsar. "Had I been simply Theodore Vasilyevich my course of action would have been quite different, but it was my duty to safeguard my life and dignity as commander in chief."

Lightly swaying on the flexible springs of his carriage and no longer hearing the terrible sounds of the crowd, Rostopchin grew physically calm and, as always happens, as soon as he became physically tranquil his mind devised reasons why he should be mentally tranquil too. The thought which tranquilized Rostopchin was not a new one. Since the world began and men have killed one another no one has ever committed such a crime against fellow man without comforting himself with this same idea. This idea is *le bien public*, the hypothetical welfare of other people.



*Dependence begets subservience and venality, suffocates the germ of virtue, and prepares fit tools for the designs of ambition.*

Thomas Jefferson, Notes on the State of Virginia, Query 19, 1787

## US Senate to retroactively punish runaway tax slaves

by [Simon Black](#) on June 21, 2013 *June 21, 2013 Bio Bio Region, Chile*

Years ago, it was virtually unheard of for someone to give up his/her US citizenship.

Then, one by one, a handful of famous cases surfaced... like Sir John Templeton, who renounced his US citizenship in 1964 and moved to the Bahamas.

At the time, Templeton was able to save \$100 million that he would have otherwise had to pay in taxes to the US government.

But anyone view Templeton poorly for his lack of patriotism, it's important to note that the man was one of the greatest philanthropists in history. And, rather than finance more bombs, guns, and military folly at the height of the Vietnam War, he chose to channel his wealth into improving the lives of millions of people around the globe.

There have been dozens of other notable cases, both before and since... from the writer Henry James (who renounced in 1915 to protest America's refusal to join Great War) to Tina Turner.

Not to mention thousands of people that no one has ever heard of.

679 individuals renounced their US citizenship in the first quarter of 2013. This was 47.6% more than during the first quarter of 2012... certainly a significant growth rate. And it's almost as many as renounced for the **entire year** in 2009.

For certain people, the stranglehold of the US tax system is simply too much to bear. After all, the United States is almost alone in the world in terms of countries that tax **non-resident** citizens on their worldwide income.

In other words, if you're a US citizen, yet never set foot on US soil, you're subject to paying a huge portion of your earnings to Uncle Sam forever.

For some people, this becomes a major breaking point. They weigh their obligations to their families against against the morality of financing a corrupt, dysfunctional government... and the decision to renounce becomes clear.

In 2008, the United States government passed a rule governing the procedure of renunciations. They deemed that a 'covered expatriate', i.e. a person of some wealth, would have to pay an exit tax before renouncing on the mark-to-market gains across his/her entire estate.

This exit tax is basically the same thing as an estate tax... or death tax. So to the US government, renouncing citizenship is a bit like dying. It's a bit strange.

"Covered expatriates" are individuals whose annual income tax **liability** (i.e. what you owe the IRS) exceeds \$155,000 on average over the preceding five years, and/or someone whose net worth exceeds \$2 million.

Despite these existing rules, however, some US Senators are now working to reintroduce legislation that would **bar** covered expatriates from entering the United States. And, it would retroactively apply to covered expatriates who renounced ten years ago (when the term 'covered expatriate' didn't even exist).

This may end up being problematic for some people who have renounced over the last ten years. But more importantly, consider what it says about the Land of the Free.

### THE WOODSHED PAGE 9

Most US citizens are born on US soil or to US parents completely by accident. And what the government is telling us is that our accident of birth **obliges** us to lifelong service to the state, even though we never signed up for any of it.

If they say we must pay, we must pay. If they re-institute a draft and say we must go die, we must go die. If they say they

need to steal our Social Security, seize our IRAs, or inflate our currency away, then we must yield.

And if at any point we stand up and say, "Wait a sec, I never signed up for any of this, you can have your citizenship back," then they bar us for life as a penalty.

This all seems rather curious for a nation that was founded by foreigner settlers in search of a better life.

Yet we must either gleefully accept being born into state slavery... or they'll treat us as if we are dead. It's hardly seems an appropriate way for an enlightened civilization to treat people.



### You Can Pick Up The Woodshed from these Great Interior Alaskan Businesses

**Chowder House** 206 Eagle Ave  
**Ajimi Japanese Restaurant** 550 3rd St  
**Mayflower Buffet** 414 3rd St  
**Sentry Hardware & Sporting** 250 3rd St. Ste. 6  
**Rod's Saw Shop** 127 Minnie Street  
**The Diner** 244 Illinois St.  
**Big I** 122 N Turner St  
**Elegant Memories Antiques** 514 2nd Ave  
**Bulgogi Grill** 400 College Rd  
**Alaska Rare Coins** 551 2nd Ave. Suite B  
**Soapy Smith's Restaurant** 543 2nd Ave.  
**Custom Leather & Gifts** 535 2nd Ave  
**River City Cafe** 523 2nd Ave  
**Larson's Locksmith & Security** 1249 Noble St.  
**Dick Randolph Insurance Agency** 12th and Cushman  
**Jim Bradbury State Farm Insurance** 1401 Turner  
**Mr Pawn and Loan** 2117 S Cushman St.  
**Ranch Motel** 2223 S Cushman St.  
**Just Haircuts** 2319 S Cushman St.  
**Auto Trim Design** 2550 South Cushman St

**Six Robblees' Inc.** 3060 S Cushman St.  
**Gene's Chrysler Dodge Jeep** 3400 South Cushman  
**Advanced Printing** 612 30th Ave  
**Arctic Fire and Safety** 702 30th Ave  
**Holm Town Nursery** 1301 30th Ave.  
**Northern Power Sports** 1980 Van Horn Rd.  
**ABS Alaskan Inc.** 2130 Van Horn Rd.  
**Compeau's** 4122 Boat St  
**Altrol** 2295 A Van Horn Road  
**Alyeska Tire** 3250 Peger Road  
**ARCTIC RV** 3013 Peger Rd.  
**Base Camp Eatery** 3226 Airport Way  
**Taco Azteca** 3401 Airport Way  
**The Woodway** 1830 RJ Loop on College Rd. 35  
**Alaska Fun Center** 1817 College Rd.  
**S&W Radiator** 1625 College Rd.  
**Siam Square**, 59 College Rd # 202  
**Northland Hearing** River Mall, 29 College Rd.  
**Seekins Ford Lincoln Mercury** 1625 Seekins Ford Drive  
**McPeaks** 771 Badger Rd. North Pole

You can now go online to read the latest and past issues of The Woodshed at

<http://www.aklg.org/the-woodshed/>

Online you can follow the imbedded links to more information.

THE WOODSHED PAGE 10